























Does This Help?



- Lowell Healthy Homes Program in Massachusetts low-income invested \$36,240 but saved over \$71,162 over a 4 week assessment period (>\$820k est annual savings)
 - 4-9 home visits over 1 year period, identifying and removing asthma triggers
 - Provided high efficiency filter vacuums, green cleaning chemicals
 - Educated on mitigation of triggers and safety hazards

Change in Asthma Severity Indicators: Healthy Homes Program; Lowell, MA; September 2009–January 2012

Variable	Baseline (n = 170), <u></u> No.	Follow-Up (n = 170), $^{a}_{-}$ No.	Change ^b (95%	
	(%) or Mean	(%) or Mean	CI)	
Past 4 wk				
Episodes of wheezing	6.40	2.30	4.1 (2.7, 5.6)	
Asthma attacks	0.80	0.20	0.6 (0.2, 1.0 <mark>)</mark>	
Emergency	0.20	0.04	0.2 (0.1, 0.2)	
department visits				
Doctor visits	0.70	0.20	0.4 (0.2, 0.6)	
Hospitalization	0.05	0.00	0.1 (0.01, 0.8)	

- Turcotte (et al) Healthy homes: in-home environmental asthma intervention in a diverse urban community. Am J Public Health. 2014 Apr;104(4):665-71



	Intervention group (Mean ± SD)			Control group (Mean ± SD)				<i>p</i> -value of change ^c	
	Baseline	Follow-up	<i>p-</i> value ^a	Change ^b (95% Cl)	Baseline	Follow-up	p-value ^a	Change ^b (95% Cl)	
Number of asthma attacks	0.77 ± 2.43	0.27 ± 0.91	0.029	-0.5 (-0.86, - 0.14)	0.24 ± 0.63	0.12 ± 0.54	0.07	-0.12 (-0.24, 0)	0.049
Hospitalizations	0.03 ± 0.28	0 ± 0	0.207	-0.03 (0.08, 0.02)	0.006 ± 0.08	0.006 ± 0.08	1	0 (-0.02,0.02)	0.235
Emergency room visits	0.05 ± 0.24	0.03 ± 0.17	0.559	-0.02 (- 0.06, 0.03)	0.05 ± 0.25	0.06 ± 0.44	0.756	0.13 (-0.06, 0.09)	0.530
PH score	72.69 ± 20.38	89.53 ± 11.76	< 0.001	16.76 (13.79, 19.73)	76.15 ± 19.08	90.74 ± 12.09	< 0.001	14.86 (12.17, 17.55)	0.351
AC score	85.26 ± 23.31	92.65 ± 15.01	0.003	7.39 (3.58, 11.21)	88.75 ± 20.84	93.87 ± 13.2	0.009	5.13 (1.95, 8.30)	0.366
AF score	85.78 ± 18.33	91.34 ± 17.32	0.013	5.5 (2.67, 8.34)	88.41 ± 16.06	91.60 ± 14.38	0.06	3.28 (1.17, 5.39)	0.215
EC score	69.23 ± 33.56	80.92 ± 26.37	0.002	11.69 (6.86, 16.52)	68.5 ± 35.06	80.31 ± 28.3	0.001	11.70 (6.92, 16.48)	0.999
EF score	62.34 ± 17	70.98 ± 13.06	< 0.001	8.63 (5.77, 11.51)	60.73 ± 18.27	66.31 ± 14.08	0.002	5.57 (3.28, 7.87)	0.1

^a Using two sample t test for baseline and follow-up data; ^b Using one sample t-tests for changes (follow-up – baseline) in each group; ^c Using two sample t test to compare the change results (follow-up – baseline) between intervention and control groups *PH* physical health of children, *AC* activities of children, *AF* activities of family, *EC* emotional health of children, *EF* emotional health of families

- Baek *et al.* Effects of the home-based educational intervention on health outcomes among primarily Hispanic children with asthma: a quasi-experimental study. *BMC Public Health* **19**, 912 (2019).

15

Inner City Evidence

- 123 childhood asthmatics referred from ED of South Side Chicago to community health worker home intervention program (89% black)
- Provided health education, conduct home environmental assessments to identify asthma triggers and provide remediation supplies, communicate with healthcare team members, and connect people to resources to address social determinants of health
- Modeled after the Community Asthma Initiative from Boston
- Average 3.9 visits each (35% dropout after 1st visit)



Symptom days/nights, rescue medication usage, and activity limitations in the past 2 weeks reported at baseline versus median reported across all follow-up visits (all p < 0.001 from paire t-test) (n = 119)

- Basnet *et al.* Collaborative Integration of Community Health Workers in Hospitals and Health Centers to Reduce Pediatric Asthma Disparities: A Quality Improvement Program Evaluation. *J Community Health* **49**, 682–692 (2024).



